

MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES ACT

March 18, 2013 - Representative Stakeholder Steering Committee Meeting

Annual Update FY2013-2014

LEARNING AND FEEDBACK SUMMARY

	Uh, no				You bet!
1. After this meeting, I have a good understanding of what is included in the Annual Update FY13-14	1	2	3	4↓	5
	(N=24, Range: 1-5)			4.04	
2. After this meeting, I have a good understanding of why the two Innovation projects were proposed.	1	2	3	4↓	5
	(N=25, Range: 2-5)			4.08	
3. After this meeting, I have a good understanding of the next steps involved with Innovation projects.	1	2	3	4↓	5
	(N=25, Range: 2-5)			4.06	
4. I am confident that we are on the right track with MHSa implementation.	1	2	3	4↓	5
	(N=25, Range: 2-5)			4.16	
5. What worked well during this meeting?					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ All speakers were clear and made the discussion interesting and informative ▪ I liked all the topics and all different services ▪ The food. The understanding about the ten programs. The organization and neatness of all, the “representatives”. So also the welcomes and the program “directors” are doing their job? Me as a drug and alcohol volunteer really support the NA and AA programs that help us and place’s us in the right home. ▪ Questions were answered several different ways. So if you didn’t “get it” the first time, you can “get it” the second time. ▪ PowerPoint ▪ The tabletop discussion was interesting and the visuals displaying next steps and financial plans were very informative. The meeting covered a lot of information and the details and plans for the future were beneficial. The meeting was well organized and professional. ▪ Review of past meetings/principles. Interested in the fact that additional funds are available for additional Innovation programs. ▪ Good presentation, easy to understand. ▪ Great facilitators ▪ The PowerPoint was very helpful. ▪ Nice pace, handouts. Really like hearing from those with lived experience. ▪ Discussion, review of information. Good job! ▪ Good turnout! ▪ Facilitators were well done and encouraged audience participation. Speakers provided good balance to keep presentations interesting. Presentation and handouts appropriate. ▪ Getting a better understanding of both projects and their funding source. ▪ Great job from Karen and Madelyn to keep meeting moving along. ▪ Karen engages well and is easy to understand. ▪ Good responses from audience. Good speakers. 					

6. What could be improved in future meetings?

- Can't think of any at this time. Much info to take in.
- Paperwork was in Braille.
- For me? The groups and a little more volunteer speakers and more questions for the listeners. Less explaining and more questioning and less mumble jumble. I, myself would keep on reacting out for the homeless and clothes for the poor. Some for "us" people who don't have clothing.
- If paperwork was in Braille.
- The only suggestion might be to limit questions until the end of the meeting. Some seemed unrelated or too specific for this general meeting.
- More communication/dialogue from audience.
- Benchmark other communities/systems of care.
- More brainstorming to create new ideas may be earlier in process.
- Name tags/tents would help to get to know each other better. I would like to see more brainstorming to come up with projects/ideas that are generated by the community vs. county already having proposals and direction. It would feel more community, client, family member driven vs. county driven. Too directive! Let the creative process happen! Might be missing out on a good idea.
- More emphasis on the plan. Less description of finances. Invite Aspen – they are an important agency in the community.