

**BEHAVIORAL HEALTH AND RECOVERY SERVICES
 SEPTEMBER 21, 2010 MHSA REPRESENTATIVE STAKEHOLDER MEETING
 SUMMARY OF LEARNING AND FEEDBACK FORMS**

	Uh, no		You bet!		
	1	2	3	4	5
1. After this meeting, I have a better understanding of the requirements for Innovation Projects and how they differ from other MHSA projects.	(N=31, Range: 3-5)			4 ↓	5
				4.39	
2. After this meeting, I have a better understanding of how the process will work for developing and approving the next round of Innovation Projects.	(N=31, Range: 3-5)			4 ↓	5
				4.35	
3. After this meeting, I have a better understanding of why we are focused on learning edges for this round of Innovation Projects.	(N=31, Range: 3-5)			4 ↓	5
				4.35	
4. After this meeting, I have am confident we are on the right track with this process.	(N=31, Range: 3-5)			4 ↓	5
				4.21	
5. My key learnings from this meeting:					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How innovation funding will work. • Scallop always. • Timeline for RFP projects. Very knowledgeable community members with great exciting ideas. • Helpful to rethink or re-look at issues. Difficult or challenging to come up with questions not solutions. • This was my first time attending a stakeholders meeting. The source of the funding and it's purpose were key. • Learning edges are an exciting concept! • Strategies to formulate learning edges. • Understanding of the Innovation Project. Have not been involved prior to today. • Leading edges. The need for answering questions vs. providing services. How a group can work together to stretch themselves. • The questions are more important than answers. • Eye (I) (We are) am both problem and solution. • Advance our learning and work – different from PEI funding projects. Projects contribute to learning rather than provide services to address unmet needs. Once learning occurs projects must be sustained by other funds. • COMMUNITY – DEVELOPED AND DRIVEN PROPOSALS. • Good ideas shared. • Developing an innovative project is difficult. None of the proposed ideas really seemed innovative; just variants of things done before. • Common interest and commitment to heal out community. The need for everyone. • There are many paths to TRUTH! • New ways to look at needs and ways to engage others. • Many ideas addressing a variety of populations and services. • Areas of concern from other MH service providers; consumers; families (i.e. stakeholders). • Community needs to work together; I hope everyone sees the scallop principal and PowerPoint. • There are many unmet needs in our community. • What innovation is all about and what the BHRS timetable is on this project. • What the learning innovation projects are about. • The overall scope of this project. • Ideas for innovation projects. 					

6. What worked well for me in this meeting:
 - John's facilitation was clear, friendly, and engaging.
 - Evoking a variety of ideas.
 - Small Group
 - Small group process.
 - Table discussions – mini think tank.
 - Engaged the small discussion and formulating the questions.
 - Everything was good.
 - Small group discussions where we were allowed to brainstorm and exchange ideas.
 - Team discussion.
 - Small group work. The way the round was conducted when entering large group discussion. Loved the learning question.
 - Great meal.
 - Good facilitation.
 - Food excellent. Small tables work well. The scallop. Listen to all the eyes.
 - It was a good meeting. I think the pace was good. It had a varied structure.
 - The small group exercise.
 - Everyone seemed supportive of natural balancing.
 - Group process. Underlying ground work was excellently modeled by moderator.
 - Bright people to discuss issues related to mental health issues.
 - The process/structure for understanding the purpose of the meeting – and the design of small group work.
 - Large group exploration.
 - Facilitator.
 - Talking and sharing.
 - The presentations and handouts were good.
 - Small groups.
 - Breakout groups and the format of the issue callout.
 - Discussion at tables and large group.

7. What could be improved in future meetings:
 - More time to think about, dialog about and develop ideas. Seemed rushed to report out ideas.
 - Nothing of note.
 - Unsure.
 - More sweets – chocolate.
 - I think more of the same will be fruitful.
 - John providing the power point on one page. Working in small groups. Practice on the questions. Very interesting.
 - Maybe people could commit to staying for the whole meeting?
 - Nothing.
 - Keep moving forward.
 - Okay
 - Don't know right now.
 - More time in the small group process.
 - This was good.
 - Nothing.

8. Any final comments:
 - It would have been great to hear what innovative projects other counties have done.
 - Good work!
 - Allow opportunity to submit questions separately from group process.
 - Good job.
 - Thanks for a productive and fun process.
 - A good use of everyone's time.
 - We can make a difference. We will make a difference. What a difference we will make.
 - Thank you for the invitation.
 - I am not sure I want to be involved in this process. I feel like things this county needs will not be chosen.

- Good job.
- Thank you for caring.
- Great food! Any holistic health alternatives may be construed as “new age” type of treatment! ;o)
- Great job John!
- Facilitation was excellent – what a great opportunity!
- Noticed better collaboration.
- How can community and law enforcement come together to identify people living at riverbanks, etc., not willing to leave these camps who may need services?
- I’m not sure about the concept of innovation projects being focused on learning rather than in doing something that they think will be an improvement ... or ... I might have a misunderstanding about the concept.
- Meeting was very informative and productive.
- Thanks for the opportunity.